

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/560,032	04/27/2000	Alexander C. Ranous	10002142	3043
22879 7	7590 09/23/2004	1	EXAMINER	
HEWLETT F	ACKARD COMP	DELGADO, MICHAEL A		
P O BOX 272400, 3404 E. HARMONY ROAD INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ADMINISTRATION			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	NS CO 80527-240		2144	

DATE MAILED: 09/23/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			1100
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	0
Advisory Action	09/560,032	RANOUS, ALEXAND	ER C.
Autiony Addon	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Michael S. A. Delgado	2144	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence addre	} SS
THE REPLY FILED 25 August 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of App Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this appl (1) a timely filed amendment wh eal (with appeal fee); or (3) a tin	ication. A proper repl nich places the applica	y to a ation in
PERIOD FOR F	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		-
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WA 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The chave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions of the shorten (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three rearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date S FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1 ension and the corresponding amount of the datatutory period for reply originally set in the se	of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. Se .136(a) and the appropriate the fee. The appropriate extent the final Office action; or (2)	ee MPEP extension fee nsion fee under 2) as set forth in
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellar 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 C	it's Brief must be filed within the FR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissa	period set forth in lof the appeal.	
2. \square The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered	because:		
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	ther consideration and/or search	(see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	e below);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	n in better form for appeal by ma	aterially reducing or si	mplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cand NOTE:	eling a corresponding number o	f finally rejected claim	IS.
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reju	ection(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) wou canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	ld be allowable if submitted in a	separate, timely filed	amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request application in condition for allowance because:			T place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered by raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ecause it is not directed SOLEL	Y to issues which wer	e newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendme explanation of how the new or amended claims			and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follow	s:		
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: 1-31			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) a	pproved or b)□ disapproved b	y the Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statem	nent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	/////	\overline{a}
10. Other:		MHHL.	U D
		NILLIAM A. CUCHLINSK	I. JR.
	SU	PERVISORY PATENT EX	AMINER

Application/Control Number: 09/560,032

Art Unit: 2144

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

- 1. Applicant's arguments filed 4/16/04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. In response to the argument that an aggregator including the step of defining a rule chain is not taught by prior arts. In US Patent No. 6,405,251, Bullard teaches about the process of aggregating Network Accounting Records (NARs) (Col 16, lines 1-10) but does not explicitly teach about using a rule chain approach in aggregating the NARs. The information received in network account recording is of a heterogeneous nature and requires an aggregation process that incorporates this property. It would have been obvious to use a rule chain approach similar to the one taught in US Patent No. 5,970,490 by Morgenstern (Fig. 4), (Col 20, line 45-Col 22, line 67).
- 2. In response to the argument that the aggregation process involves using more than one rule chain is not taught. Because of heterogeneous nature, the dependency and the different availability times of the Network Accounting Records, aggregation takes place in stages to match up with the gathering of the NARs. This approach is taught by Morgenstern (Fig. 4), (Col 20, line 45-Col 22, line 67). The rule chains as claimed are similar to the rule nodes as disclosed. See MPEP 2145

IV. ARGUING AGAINST REFERENCES INDIVIDUALLY

One cannot show nonobviousness by attacking references individually where the rejections are based on combinations of references. In re Keller, 642 F.2d 413, 208 USPQ 871 (CCPA 1981); In re Merck & Co., Inc., 800 F.2d 1091, 231 USPQ 375 (Fed. Cir. 1986).

Application/Control Number: 09/560,032

Art Unit: 2144

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael S. A. Delgado whose telephone number is (571) 272-3926. The examiner can normally be reached on 7.30 AM - 5.30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, WILLIAM A CUCHLINSKI JR can be reached on (571) 272-3925

. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

 $\widehat{\mathcal{M}}$

WILLIAM A. CUCHLINSKI, JR.
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2400